

Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities

Noise Policy

June 2023



ACRONYMS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT

ADT: Average Daily Traffic

ANSI: American National Standards Institute

BR: Benefited Receptor

CE: Categorical Exclusion (as defined in 23 CFR Part 771)

CEI: Cost Effectiveness Index

CFR: Code of Federal Regulations

CPI: Consumer Price Index

dB: Decibel

dBA: Decibel when referring to an A-weighted sound level

DHV: Design Hourly Volume (for traffic)

DOT&PF: Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities

EA: Environmental Assessment (as defined in 23 CFR 771)

EIS: Environmental Impact Statement (as defined in 23 CFR 771)

FHWA: Federal Highway Administration

FHWA TNM: Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model

FONSI: Finding of No Significant Impact (as defined in 23 CFR 771)

LOS: Level of Service

L_{eq} : Equivalent sound level in dBA

$L_{eq}(h)$: One-hour equivalent sound level in dBA

NAC: Noise Abatement Criterion

NEPA: National Environmental Policy Act

NSA: Noise Study Areas

RCNM: Road Construction Noise Model

REM: Regional Environmental Manager

ROD: Record of Decision (as defined in 23 CFR 771)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	5
2.0 PURPOSE	5
3.0 DEFINITIONS.....	6
4.0 APPLICABILITY	9
4.1 Type I Projects	9
4.2 Type II Projects	9
4.3 Type III Projects	10
5.0 ANALYSIS OF TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS	10
5.1 Minimum Qualifications for Noise Analysts	10
5.2 General Requirements for All Type I Projects.....	10
5.3 Land Use Activity Categories.....	11
5.4 Narrative Analysis for Type I Projects	13
5.5 Screening Analysis for Type I Projects	14
5.6 Detailed Analysis for Type I Projects.....	16
6.0 ANALYSIS OF NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES	19
6.1 Date of Public Knowledge	19
6.2 Abatement Considerations.....	19
6.3 Possible Noise Abatement Measures	19
6.4 Feasibility	20
6.5 Reasonableness	21
6.6 Third Party Funding.....	23
6.7 Information Required for a NEPA Decision	23
6.7.1 Post-NEPA Documentation	24
6.8 Design-Build Projects.....	25
7.0 INFORMATION FOR LOCAL OFFICIALS	25
8.0 CONSTRUCTION NOISE	25
9.0 STATE-FUNDED PROJECTS.....	26
10.0 UPDATES TO POLICY	28
REFERENCES	30
APPENDIX A - FHWA 23 CFR 772.....	31
Code of Federal Regulations	31

PART 772—PROCEDURES FOR ABATEMENT OF HIGHWAY TRAFFIC NOISE AND CONSTRUCTION NOISE	31
§772.1 Purpose.	31
§772.3 Noise standards.....	32
§772.5 Definitions.	32
§772.7 Applicability.....	34
§772.9 Traffic noise prediction.	35
§772.11 Analysis of traffic noise impacts.....	35
§772.13 Analysis of noise abatement.	37
§772.15 Federal participation.....	40
§772.17 Information for local officials.....	41
§772.19 Construction noise.	42
Table 1 to Part 772—Noise Abatement Criteria	42
APPENDIX B - Land Use Activity Categories and Noise Abatement Criteria	44
APPENDIX C - Feasibility and Reasonableness Worksheet	45

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document contains the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF) policy on highway traffic noise and construction noise as it affects the human environment. The policy describes DOT&PF's implementation of the requirements of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Noise Standard at Title 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 772 (see Appendix A.) The policy also addresses how traffic noise is considered on state funded projects. DOT&PF developed this policy, which was then reviewed and approved by FHWA and is considered effective as of the date on the title page. This policy replaces DOT&PF's Noise Policy dated November 2018.

During the rapid expansion of the Interstate Highway System and other roadways in the 20th century, communities began to recognize highway traffic noise and construction noise as important environmental impacts. In the 1972 Federal-aid Highway Act, Congress required FHWA to develop a noise standard for new Federal-aid highway projects. While providing national criteria and requirements for all highway agencies, the FHWA Noise Standard gives highway agencies flexibility that reflects state-specific attitudes and objectives in approaching the problem of highway traffic and construction noise. This document contains DOT&PF's policy on how highway traffic and construction noise impacts are defined, how noise abatement is evaluated, and how noise abatement decisions are made.

The FHWA Noise Standard requires noise abatement measures be considered when traffic noise impacts are identified for Type I federal projects, as defined in 23 CFR 772.5. Noise abatement measures found to be feasible and reasonable must be constructed for Type I federal projects. Feasible and reasonable noise abatement measures are eligible for federal-aid participation at the same ratio or percentage as other eligible project costs. As part of NEPA's requirement to consider the environmental effects of federally funded projects, the impact determinations and abatement considerations will be used to support development of the NEPA document.

2.0 PURPOSE

This policy outlines the DOT&PF program to implement the FHWA Noise Standards found in 23 CFR 772. These standards include traffic noise prediction requirements, noise analyses, noise abatement criteria, and requirements for informing local officials. Where FHWA has given DOT&PF flexibility in implementing the standard, this policy describes the DOT&PF approach to implementation. This policy also defines how the DOT&PF addresses traffic noise in State-funded projects.

The State of Alaska does not have any traffic noise regulations. It is the DOT&PF policy to follow the federal standards for traffic noise prediction requirements and noise analyses. Federal noise abatement criteria are followed to determine whether noise impacts exist and if abatement is feasible and reasonable; however, the decision to provide noise abatement on State-funded projects follows slightly different procedures (see Section 9.0 of this policy, *State-Funded Projects*).

3.0 DEFINITIONS

A-Weighted Decibel (dBA): An expression of the relative loudness of sounds as perceived by the human ear. The sound level measured in decibels with a frequency weighting network corresponding to the A-scale on a standard Type 1 or 2 sound level meter as specified by ANSI S1.4-1983 (R2006)/ANSI S1.4a-1985 (R2006,) American National Standard Specification for Sound Level meters (or latest version.) This is the most widely used weighting system for assessing transportation-related noise because it best approximates sound as heard by the normal human ear.

Acoustically Representative: A receptor location that represents the same land use category and magnitude of noise as another location. Proper acoustical representation includes nearly the same roadway geometry, topography, traffic flow, and distance from source to receptor.

Benefited Receptor: A receptor that receives at least a 5dBA noise reduction from an abatement measure.

Common Noise Environment: A group of receptors within the same Activity Category in 23 CFR 772, Table 1 that are exposed to similar noise sources and levels; traffic volumes, traffic mix, and speed; and topographic features. Generally, common noise environments occur between two secondary noise sources such as interchanges, intersections, and cross-roads.

Date of Development: The date at which land is permitted for development.

Date of Public Knowledge: The date of approval of the Categorical Exclusion (CE), the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), the Record of Decision (ROD), or in the case of a state-funded project, approval of the State Environmental Checklist.

Decibel (dB): A unit of sound pressure level, which denotes the ratio between two sound pressures; the number of decibels is 10 times the base 10 logarithm of this ratio.

Design Hourly Volume (DHV): The 30th highest hourly volume of the future year traffic assigned for the design, expressed in vehicles per hour.

Design Year: The future year used to estimate the probable traffic volume for which a highway is designed. This is determined by adding the project's design life to the anticipated date of construction completion.

Existing Noise Levels: The representative worst noise hour (as defined below) level resulting from the combination of natural and mechanical sources and human activity usually present in a particular area.

Feasibility: The combination of acoustical and engineering factors considered in the evaluation of a noise abatement measure.

Federal-aid Project: Any project utilizing federal funds for one or more phases (*i.e.*, Environmental, Design, Right of Way, or Construction) or that is otherwise subject to federal approval.

Field Measurement Point: Physical noise measurement site within the noise study boundary used to validate TNM and document existing noise levels. A field noise measurement point may also serve as a receiver in the TNM.

First Row Receptors: The first parcel that exhibits the qualities of a receptor that is directly adjacent to the roadway

Impacted Receptor: The recipient that has a traffic noise impact.

L_{eq} : The equivalent steady-state sound level which in a stated period of time contains the same acoustic energy as the time-varying sound level during the same time period, with $L_{eq}(h)$ being the L_{eq} for one hour.

Multifamily Dwelling: A residential structure containing more than one residence. Each residence with a private exterior space in a multifamily dwelling shall be counted as one receptor when determining impacted receptors and benefited receptors, and in determining barrier reasonableness.

Noise Analysis Boundary: Limits of analysis for the proposed project(s). Boundaries typically extend 500 feet on either side of a proposed projects improvements; however, some geometric conditions and traffic volumes/mixes may cause noise impacts beyond 500 feet. The boundaries must encompass all potential noise impacts.

Noise Barrier: A physical obstruction constructed between the highway noise source and the noise sensitive receptor(s) that lowers the noise level by reducing the transmission of sound, including stand-alone noise walls, noise berms (earth or other material), and combination berm/wall systems.

Noise Contour: A line on a map representing points of equal sound level (similar to ground elevation contour lines on a topographic map).

Noise Reduction Design Goal: The minimum desired sound level reduction, determined by calculating the difference between future build noise levels with and without abatement. The DOT&PF noise reduction design goal is 7 dBA.

Permitted: A definite commitment to develop land with an approved specific design of land use activities as evidenced by the issuance of a building permit.

Property Owner: An individual or group of individuals that holds a title, deed, or other legal documentation of ownership of a property or a residence.

Reasonableness: The combination of social, economic, and environmental factors considered in the evaluation of a noise abatement measure.

Receiver: A modeling point in the FHWA Traffic Noise Model (TNM) at which sound levels are predicted. An individual receiver may represent multiple receptors.

Receptor: A discrete or representative location (such as a residence or an activity area on a parcel of land) being studied for noise impacts.

Residence: A dwelling unit, such as a single family home or each dwelling unit in a multifamily dwelling.

Resident: Someone who resides at a dwelling unit. May not necessarily be the owner of the dwelling unit.

State-funded Project: A project that is solely funded by state monies appropriated by the Alaska State Legislature and requires no federal approvals for implementation.

Statement of Likelihood: A statement provided in the environmental clearance document based on the feasibility and reasonableness analysis completed at the time the environmental document is being approved.

Substantial Noise Increase: One of two types of highway traffic noise impacts. For a Type I project, DOT&PF defines it as an increase in design year noise levels of 15 or more dBA over the existing noise level.

Traffic Noise Impacts: Design year build condition noise levels that approach or exceed the Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) listed in Table 1 in 23 CFR 772 for the future build condition; or design year build condition noise levels that create a substantial noise increase (defined above) over existing noise levels. The DOT&PF defines “approach” as one dBA below the NAC.

Type I Project: As defined in 23 CFR 772:

- (1) The construction of a highway on new location; or,
- (2) The physical alteration of an existing highway where there is either:
 - (i) Substantial Horizontal Alteration. A project that halves the distance between the traffic noise source and the closest receptor between the existing condition to the future build condition; or,
 - (ii) Substantial Vertical Alteration. A project that removes shielding therefore exposing the line-of-sight between the receptor and the traffic noise source. This is done by either altering the vertical alignment of the highway or by altering the topography between the highway traffic noise source and the receptor; or,
- (3) The addition of a through-traffic lane(s). This includes the addition of a through-traffic lane that functions as a HOV lane, High-Occupancy Toll (HOT) lane, bus lane, or truck climbing lane; or,
- (4) The addition of an auxiliary lane, except when the auxiliary lane is a turn lane; or,
- (5) The addition or relocation of interchange lanes or ramps added to a quadrant to complete an existing partial interchange; or,
- (6) Restriping existing pavement for the purpose of adding a through-traffic lane or an auxiliary lane; or,
- (7) The addition of a new or substantial alteration of a weigh station, rest stop, ride-

share lot or toll plaza.

(8) If a project is determined to be a Type I project under this definition, the entire project area as defined in the environmental document is a Type I project.

Type II Project: A Federal or Federal aid highway project for noise abatement on an existing highway. For a Type II project to be eligible for Federal-aid funding, the highway agency must develop and implement a Type II program in accordance with section 772.7(e). DOT&PF does not have a Type II program.

Type III Project: A Federal or Federal aid highway project that does not meet the classifications of a Type I or Type II project. Type III projects do not require a noise analysis.

Worst Noise Hour: A period of 60 minutes within a 24-hour day that reflects the noisiest hour resulting from the maximum amount of traffic traveling at the greatest speed. The worst noise hour may be when the vehicle mix is dominated by truck traffic rather than a high volume of automobile traffic.

4.0 APPLICABILITY

This Noise Policy applies to all Federal or Federal Aid Highway Projects authorized under Title 23, United States Code; therefore, this Noise Policy applies to any highway or multimodal project that:

1. Requires FHWA approval regardless of funding sources, or
2. Is funded with Federal Aid highway funds. This includes Federal or Federal-aid projects that are administered by Local Public Agencies as well as Alaska DOT&PF.

All projects without an approved noise report before the 2023 Noise Policy update adoption date shall use the 2023 Noise Policy. Projects that have an approved noise report under the 2018 Noise Policy may continue to use the existing noise report or prepare a new noise report using the 2023 update. Projects that have an approved noise report under the 2018 Noise Policy shall utilize Section 6.7.1 of the 2023 Noise Policy for documenting project design changes or reevaluations. Projects that have an approved noise report under the 2018 Noise Policy have three years from the adoption date of the 2023 Noise Policy update to obtain an Authority to Proceed with Construction; otherwise, the noise report shall be updated to conform to the 2023 Noise Policy update.

4.1 Type I Projects

The requirements of this policy apply uniformly and consistently to all Type I federal projects, Type I State-funded projects (see Section 9.0 of this policy), and Type I Toll Authority projects within the State of Alaska. If a project is determined to be a Type I project under the definition outlined in 23 CFR 772.5, then the entire project area as defined in the environmental document is a Type I project.

4.2 Type II Projects

DOT&PF has elected not to participate in the voluntary Type II noise program; therefore, no noise analyses will be completed for Type II projects. Type II projects are not discussed further in this policy.

4.3 Type III Projects

Type III projects are those projects that neither meet the definition of a Type I or Type II project nor require a noise analysis or consideration of noise abatement. However, it may be necessary to consider conducting a construction noise analyses in certain circumstances (e.g., pile driving near residences). Construction noise is discussed in Section 8.0 of this policy.

5.0 ANALYSIS OF TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS

In order to accurately plan a project timeline, it is important to determine early on in project scoping if a noise analysis is necessary.

5.1 Minimum Qualifications for Noise Analysts

DOT&PF highway traffic noise analyses must be performed by qualified personnel who have successfully completed training in the area of highway noise analysis and are proficient in the use of the latest version of the FHWA-approved traffic noise modeling software. These personnel must have experience conducting noise analysis studies for highway transportation projects and have a working knowledge of this policy and the regulations outlined in 23 CFR 772.

5.2 General Requirements for All Type I Projects

All Type I projects require a noise analysis; however, projects may not require the same level of analysis. This policy describes three levels of analyses:

- Narrative Analysis – a non-quantitative analysis of noise impacts where noise impacts are not anticipated.
- Screening Analysis – a streamlined quantitative analysis where noise impacts are unlikely or abatement actions are clearly not feasible and/or reasonable.
- Detailed Analysis – a comprehensive quantitative analysis where noise impacts are possible and noise abatement may be feasible and reasonable.

Coordination with the Statewide Environmental Office (SEO) is required before a narrative or screening analysis is conducted. Failure to coordinate with the SEO may result in a need to reanalyze the project using a detailed analysis. There are limitations to the narrative and screening procedures, and they are not applicable to all projects. The appropriate level of noise analysis will depend on the presence of noise sensitive land uses (existing or permitted), probable occurrence of highway traffic noise impacts, the potential for noise abatement measures, and/or noise-related public controversy. The levels of analysis are described in detail in Sections 5.4 through 5.6 of this policy.

For Type I projects, a traffic noise analysis is required for all build alternatives under detailed study in the NEPA process. All reasonable alternatives that have been carried forward for detailed analysis and were not rejected as unreasonable during the alternatives screening process will be analyzed for noise impacts. For Environmental Impact Statements or other studies that will examine broad corridors, the appropriate scope and methodology of the noise analysis should be discussed with participating agencies early in the project planning process.

A Type I traffic noise analysis generally consists of the following steps, which are described in more detail in subsequent sections of this policy:

1. Identify noise analysis boundaries and receptors by land use Activity Category (Section 5.3) and distance to the edge of the closest travel lane of the proposed project;
2. Determine existing noise levels at a representative subset of receptors;
3. Predict future “build” noise levels at a larger representative subset of receptors. Predict future “no-build” noise levels for the proposed project;
4. Determine traffic noise impacts;
5. Evaluate abatement feasibility and reasonableness if there are traffic noise impacts;
6. Address coordination with local officials;
7. Address construction noise; and
8. Prepare the noise analysis report (Section 6.7).

Noise impact modeling and abatement evaluation/design for DOT&PF projects require use of an approved version of the FHWA Traffic Noise Model (FHWA TNM) or another model determined by FHWA to be consistent with the methodology of the FHWA TNM, pursuant to 23 CFR 772.9(a).

If any segment or component of an alternative meets the definition of a Type I project, then the entire alternative is considered to be Type I and is subject to these noise analysis requirements. The noise analysis boundaries will be consistent with project limits, from the beginning of the project to the end of the project based on logical termini for that specific project (BOP to EOP).

5.3 Land Use Activity Categories

Federal land use activity categories are defined in 23 CFR 772. DOT&PF has accepted the FHWA definition of these activity categories (Appendix B, Table 1). Noise analyses must address each activity category present within the noise analysis boundaries. If undeveloped land has been permitted for development (e.g., a building permit has been issued on or before the date of public knowledge), that land should be assigned to the appropriate activity category and analyzed in the same manner as developed lands in that category.

Activity Category A: Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.

Activity Category B: Residential (single-family and multi-family homes). Noise receivers should be located in exterior areas that receive frequent human use (e.g., patios, balconies, playgrounds, gardens, etc.). When an area of frequent use cannot be determined, an area mid-way between the residence and the right-of-way line should be chosen. For apartment buildings, second-floor or higher balconies should be used in addition to ground floor units. For any shared-use exterior areas, the number of residential equivalents will be equal to the total number of dwelling units in multi-family building(s).

Activity Category C: Exterior areas of non-residential lands such as schools, parks, cemeteries, etc., as listed in Appendix B. Receivers should be located in areas that receive the most frequent human use and represent the typical use of the area. Since impact determinations are based on each area of frequent human use, the number of areas impacted should be calculated and an equivalent number of residential units should then be calculated to assess the feasibility and reasonableness of abatement measures. The equivalent number of residential units is calculated by determining the average residential lot size for the vicinity and dividing it into the non-residential area, for a total number of residential units. For example, if a park has an area of 87,120 square feet, and the average residential lot size is 60 feet by 200 feet, or 12,000 square feet, use 8 equivalent residential units to assess the feasibility and reasonableness of a proposed abatement measure. Receiver placement for non-residential use sites is similar to that of the residential analysis. Receivers should be placed at the closest location to the highway right of way (ROW) line where outdoor activity normally occurs to determine if the NAC is exceeded. In addition, receivers should be placed at locations away from the ROW line to determine the extent of impact and to consider sensitive receptors if the NAC are exceeded at the ROW line.

Activity Category D: Interiors of certain Category C facilities, such as those listed in Appendix B. Interior receptor locations should only be used if there are no reasonable exterior (Category C) receptor options. Only consider the interior levels at these land uses after fully completing an analysis of any outdoor activity areas or determining that exterior abatement measures are not feasible or reasonable. Interior receptor areas (rooms) closest to the noise sources should be analyzed first when compared to the 52 dBA criteria for the category.

This will involve:

1.) Identify the expected noise reduction due to the composition of the building envelope: Table 6-1 found in the FHWA publication HEP-18-065, Noise Measurement Handbook Final Report (2018):

www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/measurement/handbook.cfm#toc492990722

2.) Determine if interior noise levels should assume an open-window or closed window conditions. Consider windows open unless there is firm knowledge that the windows are in fact kept closed almost every day of the year.

3.) If the expected reductions cannot be determined as identified in #1 or #2, physical measurements of the amount of noise reduction provided by the building envelope will be conducted consistent with methodology found in the FHWA publication HEP-18-065, Noise Measurement Handbook Final Report (2018).

Activity Category E: Exteriors of developed lands that are less sensitive to highway noise that are not included in Categories A-D or F. Noise measurements will be taken and predictions will be made at locations that receive the most frequent use. Category E are specifically excluded from Category D and no interior noise analysis is required. The USDOT/FDOT research publication, [A Method to Determine Reasonableness and Feasibility of Noise Abatement at Special Use Locations \(2009\)](#), shall be used to assess whether noise abatement is feasible and/or reasonable.

Activity Category F: Land uses that are not sensitive to highway noise (examples listed in Appendix B). No highway noise analysis is required under 23 CFR 772 for Activity Category F land uses. The noise analysis report should identify any Category F land uses by name, location, and type of land use.

Activity Category G: Undeveloped lands that are not permitted. Land permitted for development (e.g., a building permit has been issued on or before the date of public knowledge) shall be analyzed under the Activity Category for that type of development. When possible, use the filed plat to choose receptor locations representing the exterior areas of frequent human use. For residential plats, determine if each lot represents a single-family or multifamily dwelling. Choose representative receptor locations for second row residences as well (these receptors may be grouped two or three at a time.)

For lands not permitted for development by the date of public knowledge, DOT&PF shall determine future noise levels pursuant to 23 CFR 772.17(a). For detailed noise analyses, this analysis should report (at a minimum) the distances from the proposed edge of the near travel lane out to where worst noise hour $L_{eq}(h)$ levels of 60 and 64 dBA are modeled to occur. The results shall be documented in the project environmental documentation and in the noise analysis report, when applicable. Federal participation in noise abatement measures will not be considered for Category G lands unless another future Type I project is planned adjacent to such lands.

5.4 Narrative Analysis for Type I Projects

A narrative analysis is a qualitative analysis that may be completed for Type I projects where noise-related impacts are not anticipated. If there are no receptors that could potentially be exposed to traffic noise impacts, a narrative analysis is appropriate, and no further analysis is required.

If there are receptors that could potentially be exposed to traffic noise impacts, and the project has the potential to adversely affect the acoustic environment based on an evaluation of the following factors below, a quantitative analysis (i.e., screening or detailed analysis) is required and a narrative analysis is not applicable.

- The identification of any existing activities, developed lands, and undeveloped lands for which development is permitted which may be affected by noise from the proposed project;
- Change of traffic volume (greater than 10%);
- Change of traffic composition (increased truck volumes);
- Change of traffic speed (greater than 10 miles per hour);
- Change of geometric relationships (either horizontal or vertical) between the roadway facility and receptors;
- Projects on new location;
- Change in distribution of traffic patterns; and/or;
- Public controversy based on noise-related issues or perceptions.

It is impossible to identify and account for every special consideration that may arise on a specific highway project and address it in the corresponding noise analysis; therefore, the list above is to be used as a guide and should not be considered comprehensive.

A narrative analysis will consist of a discussion of the proposed project, its relationship to receptors (if present) and why further analysis is not required. If no receptors are present, a brief statement should be included that summarizes the fact that there are no noise-sensitive land uses within the noise analysis boundaries. Depending on the project circumstances, some analysis may be required to justify the results of the narrative analysis and to document the non-significance of the change in the acoustical environment (e.g., noise measurements or using a simplified two-dimensional FHWA TNM run to assess the worst-case conditions).

If local officials associated with undeveloped lands in the project area could benefit from information regarding future noise levels for planning purposes, then that information still needs to be provided even if a narrative analysis has been performed. This can be done using the simplified modeling procedure described in Section 5.5, below.

5.5 Screening Analysis for Type I Projects

For some Type I projects, a screening analysis may be appropriate. The screening analysis is a streamlined procedure in which either FHWA's Traffic Noise Screening Tool or simplified TNM modeling is used to predict traffic noise levels and make a conservative estimation of noise impacts. This procedure can be effective for reducing time and resources associated with a detailed analysis. If a project passes the screening analysis, additional noise analysis under 23 CFR 772 is normally not necessary. If a project is considered controversial, a detailed analysis (see "Detailed Analysis") is warranted regardless of whether the screening procedure indicates otherwise.

A screening analysis is generally appropriate for projects where the following conditions occur:

- No noise impacts are anticipated;
- Noise impacts are anticipated but potential noise abatement actions will clearly not be feasible and reasonable.

Typically, these will be rural highway projects with uncontrolled access, few receptors, and large distances between receptors.

For example, acoustical feasibility (Section 6.4.1) requires that at least three receptors be protected by a continuous proposed noise barrier that guarantees at least a 5 dBA reduction in noise. If there are less than three receptors in the area where noise abatement is being considered, then no further analysis of noise abatement is required.

5.5.1 FHWA Traffic Noise Screening Tool

The [FHWA's Traffic Noise Screening Tool and its associated User's Guide](#) can assist in determining if a more detailed study is needed for a given project by modeling a worst-case scenario for a given project area. This tool has been designed to evaluate noise levels for simple sites that are likely to fall below the levels that would trigger a detailed study.

The Screening Tool should only be used if the site is flat with only hard ground (pavement) or soft ground (lawn) between the source and the receiver. The roads involved should be straight and have a constant gradient no more than +/- eight percent ($\pm 8\%$). The Screening Tool computes noise levels using average pavement for a receiver that is five feet above the ground and at a user-defined distance from the roads. Sites that require, for example, terrain lines, barriers, multiple ground types or curved roads should use a more comprehensive tool like the FHWA's Traffic Noise Model.

5.5.2 Simplified TNM Modeling

TNM modeling can still be performed and the models may be simpler than for a detailed analysis. There are several simplifying measures that can be used in screening TNM template models, including using flat ground elevation data with straight-line roads. Receptors will be offset perpendicularly from the center of the model roads at distances that represent the distances from project roads to the nearest noise-sensitive receptors, and/or spaced at 50-foot intervals out to 500 feet to identify distances to NAC approach levels. The model roads will extend a minimum of 1,500 feet past the model receptors at each end of the study area.

The following items must be considered when using a screening analysis:

- Model validation is not required, but the need for onsite noise measurements will be determined on a case by case basis;
- Non-traffic noise sources important to the analysis area must be taken into account;

- Existing conditions for the analysis area must be modeled to determine if future noise levels may increase by 15 dBA or more;
- All of the future alternatives under consideration for the project must be modeled;
- Future noise levels must be evaluated for noise impacts according to the criteria in Section 3;
- If design year noise levels are 64 dBA or less or if noise levels are not predicted to increase more than 10 dBA over existing, then the screening analysis is sufficient;
- Traffic noise abatement actions will not be modeled;
- Noise measurements may be needed to justify results of a screening analysis that has identified impacts and feasible abatement appears unlikely.

This procedure can be used for Type I projects void of sensitive receptors in order to satisfy the requirement of analyzing noise impacts for undeveloped lands for use in local noise compatible planning (see Sections 5.4 and 5.6.4 of this policy).

The decision to use a screening analysis in place of a detailed analysis should be made carefully. If the screening procedure is passed and no need for a detailed analysis is indicated, the results of the screening procedure are documented in a Noise Analysis report. If impacts are noted and abatement is clearly NOT feasible (e.g., driveway access), the screening procedure should suffice and a detailed analysis is not needed. However, impacts and the rationale for determining that noise abatement would not be feasible and reasonable must be clearly documented in a Noise Analysis report. If a project does not pass the screening procedure or if warranted by other conditions (e.g., public controversy), a detailed noise impact analysis must be performed.

5.6 Detailed Analysis for Type I Projects

A detailed noise analysis is the level of analysis performed for DOT&PF Type I projects when a narrative or screening analysis has been determined to not be appropriate. DOT&PF's processes for determining which projects qualify for a narrative or screening level analysis are described in Sections 5.4 and 5.5, respectively.

5.6.1 Identification of Analysis Boundaries, Noise Study Areas, and Receptors

Noise analysis boundaries must encompass all potential impacts. Potential benefits and impacts outside of the project limits may also need to be considered (e.g., changes in traffic volumes on other facilities due to the proposed project). All land uses within the noise analysis boundaries are identified and assigned to the appropriate Activity Categories.

It is usually beneficial on large projects to group land uses together into smaller noise study areas for the purposes of noise modeling and abatement evaluation. A noise study area (NSA) is generally not longer than a mile. Decision factors for dividing a project into NSAs include the extents of individual neighborhoods or residential subdivisions, major terrain features, location of large tracts of undeveloped lands, and boundaries defining major changes in land use. Individual receptor locations within the

land uses are also chosen, as outlined above in Section 5.3, Land Use Activity Categories.

5.6.2 Determination of Existing Noise Levels and Model Validation

For projects on new alignments, determine the worst noise hour existing noise levels (including non-highway traffic noise sources) for developed land uses and activities by field noise measurements. For projects on existing alignments, existing noise levels can be determined by modeling, although field measurements are recommended.

5.6.2.1 Ambient Noise Level Measurements

Field measurements are conducted in accordance with procedures outlined in FHWA's Noise Measurement Field Guide-Final Report (FHWA-HEP-18-066) or the most recent available protocols. Field measurement points are generally a subset of all identified receptors, and should be chosen to be acoustically representative of a grouping of similarly located receptors.

Noise measurements typically consist of a series of 15-minute measurements (minimum of two at roughly the same time of day). If these measurements differ by more than 3 dBA, a third measurement is needed, unless the variation can be explained by specific noise events that occurred during the measurement period.

On rural or smaller widening road projects, there may be fewer receptors, such that a determination of existing noise levels along the entire project may not be necessary. One approach to this situation is to make a longer term measurement (including peak traffic periods and daytime off-peak periods) at one measurement location close to the existing road. The results can then be used to determine the worst noise hour. Short term measurements taken at other locations during this longer term measurement can be adjusted later to represent the worst noise hour based on data from the longer term measurement location. While ambient noise level measurements should be made during the worst noise hour, it may not always be practical to do so in rural areas of Alaska.

5.6.2.2 Model Validation

Model validation is done by comparing measured noise levels with modeled noise levels using the same traffic volumes, mix, and speeds tallied during field noise measurements. Noise measurements for model validation do not have to be during the worst noise hour, but should not be made during periods of slow-moving traffic congestion.

Validation measurement locations should be representative of first-row receptor locations and should not be blocked by buildings or terrain features. Two or three measurements of at least 15 minutes in length are made at each location. Directional traffic classification counts and average travel speeds of the five FHWA TNM vehicle types are made during each measurement. Pavement type must be noted and used in FHWA TNM.

For a FHWA TNM run of an NSA to be considered valid, two of the three modeled levels at each validation location must be within +/-3 dBA of the corresponding measured levels. When a discrepancy is over 3 dBA, the model input data should be examined for errors and refinements made. If a measured/modeled difference remains over 3 dBA after revision of the model, the discrepancy (and potential explanation) is noted in the noise analysis report.

5.6.3 Prediction of Future Noise Levels

Future condition noise predictions are made for each alternative under consideration, including the no-build alternative, using the latest version of the FHWA TNM program. Design year traffic conditions representing the worst noise hour (generally, Level of Service (LOS) C or D,) are used. Highway traffic noise analysis should consider absolute noise levels as well as substantial increases in noise levels for abatement evaluations.

Where appropriate, take into account any seasonal variations in traffic. Use the guidance in Section 5.3 of this policy when choosing receptors for modeling as receivers in FHWA TNM. Loss of existing shielding of the roadway due to topography, buildings, or vegetation that may be eliminated when the roadway is built should be taken into account.

5.6.4 Determination of Future Noise Levels on Undeveloped Lands

Design year noise levels based on design hourly volumes need to be predicted for Category G lands. This can be done using the simplified modeling procedure described in Section 5.5 of this policy. At a minimum, this analysis should report the distances from the proposed edge of the near travel lane out to where worst hour $L_{eq}(h)$ levels of 60 and 64 dBA are modeled to occur. These results are then provided to local public agencies to assist them in planning.

Creation of noise contours for undeveloped lands will be considered on an individual project basis. Noise contours may only be used for project alternative screening or for land use planning purposes. They may not be used for determining highway traffic noise impacts.

5.6.5 Determination of Traffic Noise Impacts

For Type I projects, noise impacts must be determined for all Activity Category A-E land uses in the analysis area. Impacts occur when a proposed project results in a substantial noise increase or when the predicted design year noise levels approach, meet, or exceed the NAC. As defined in Section 3.0, a “substantial noise increase” occurs when a design year noise level ($L_{eq}(h)$) is predicted to increase 15 or more dBA above the existing level and “approach” means a design year noise level is predicted to be one decibel below the NAC for Activity Categories A-E (Appendix B, Table 1). When one or both impact type(s) occur, noise abatement measures must be evaluated for Type I projects.

6.0 ANALYSIS OF NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES

Depending upon the date of public knowledge of the project and the Activity Category of the receptors, traffic noise abatement measures are to be considered when traffic noise impacts have been identified through the noise analysis process, with the exceptions noted in Sections 5.4 and 5.5.

6.1 Date of Public Knowledge

The date of public knowledge of a proposed transportation project is used to determine whether noise abatement should be considered as part of the project. This date, as defined in 23 CFR 772, is the date that a NEPA decision document was approved for the project. DOT&PF will only consider abatement measures if the impacted receptor was developed or permitted for development before the date of public knowledge.

6.2 Abatement Considerations

Noise abatement measures must be found to be both feasible and reasonable in order to be included in a proposed project. A Noise Abatement Recommendation Worksheet (located in Appendix C) should be completed to assist in the decision-making process. Feasibility and reasonableness are each described in detail later in this section.

For Type I projects that have had a Detailed Noise Analysis conducted, DOT&PF will evaluate noise abatement when traffic noise impacts are predicted for land use Activity Categories A-E, with some exceptions as noted in Section 5.3. When an impact is identified, noise abatement measures will be evaluated after first considering whether project design changes (*e.g.*, altering the horizontal and/or vertical alignment) may reduce or eliminate the impact.

6.3 Possible Noise Abatement Measures

Federal funds may be used for the following noise abatement measures when traffic noise impacts have been identified and abatement measures have been determined to be feasible and reasonable, pursuant to 23 CFR 772.13(d). The costs of such measures may be included in Federal-aid participation project costs with the Federal share being the same as that for the system on which the project is located.

The following noise abatement measures may be considered for incorporation into a Type I project to reduce traffic noise impacts.

- (1) Construction of noise barriers, including acquisition of property rights, either within or outside the highway right-of-way. Landscaping is not a viable noise abatement measure.
- (2) Traffic management measures including, but not limited to, traffic control devices and signing for prohibition of certain vehicle types, time-use restrictions for certain vehicle types, modified speed limits, and exclusive lane designations.
- (3) Alteration of horizontal and vertical alignments.

(4) Acquisition of real property or interests therein (predominantly unimproved property) to serve as a buffer zone to preempt development which would be adversely impacted by traffic noise.

(5) Noise insulation of Activity Category D land use facilities listed in Table 1. Post-installation maintenance and operational costs for noise insulation are not eligible for Federal-aid funding.

Alternative (quieter) pavement is not a FHWA-approved noise abatement measure for Federal-aid projects and consequently cannot be used as noise abatement on Federal-aid projects. DOT&PF may consider using alternative pavements to reduce traffic noise on State-funded projects (see Section 9.0 of this policy).

At this time, DOT&PF does not use absorptive treatments as a functional enhancement of noise barriers.

6.4 Feasibility

Determinations of noise abatement measure feasibility are made by considering whether a certain amount of noise reduction can be achieved by the measure and whether the measure is possible to design and construct.

6.4.1 Acoustical Feasibility

Acoustical feasibility refers to the minimum number of impacted receptors that must receive 5 dBA highway traffic noise reduction for a proposed abatement measure to be feasible. For DOT&PF projects, a 5 dBA or more reduction must be achieved for at least three impacted front row receptors in order for the abatement measure to be considered acoustically feasible.

If significant non-highway noise sources exist in the project area, such as rail lines or airports, noise barrier effectiveness may be compromised. These situations will be carefully evaluated to determine if a noise barrier for the highway noise sources is feasible.

6.4.2 Engineering Feasibility

Noise abatement measures are not feasible if they create a safety hazard to the driving public, protected receptors, or maintenance personnel. The project development team will consult with the appropriate DOT&PF functional groups when determining whether it is possible to design and construct a noise abatement measure. Noise abatement measures should be consistent with the following general design principles:

- Noise abatement measures should be located beyond the recovery zone of the traveled way; if a noise abatement measure must be located within the recovery zone, a traffic barrier may be warranted.
- Noise abatement measures may not block the recommended sight distance (Alaska Highway Preconstruction Manual, Chapter 11) between vehicles and intersecting roadways or on/off-ramps.
- Protrusions on noise abatement measures near a traffic lane should be avoided.

- Facings on noise abatement measures that can become dislodged, or barrier components that could shatter during an accident, or facings that create excessive glare should be avoided.
- Access should be provided to all sides of noise abatement measures to allow for maintenance activities to take place.

All noise abatement measures should consider the design principles outlined in the FHWA Highway Traffic Noise: Analysis and Abatement Guidance (FHWA-HEP-10-025) and the FHWA Noise Barrier Design Handbook.

6.5 Reasonableness

The following three reasonableness factors must be evaluated in order for a noise abatement measure to be considered reasonable, pursuant to 23 CFR 772.13:

- 1) Viewpoints of the property owners and residents of the benefited receptors.
- 2) Cost Effectiveness.
- 3) Noise Reduction Design Goal.

These three reasonableness factors must collectively be achieved in order for a noise abatement measure to be deemed reasonable. Refer to Section 9.0 for a list of additional optional reasonableness factors that may be used only on State-funded projects.

6.5.1 Viewpoints of the property owners and residents of the benefited receptors

Public involvement for noise abatement is required for all categories of environmental document. To determine the views of benefited households and property owners, DOT&PF will contact all benefited households and property owners to determine the level of interest for a noise abatement measure. This contact can be in the form of a mail out questionnaire, phone call survey, or door to door interviews - whichever is most practical and cost effective for the size of the proposed project.

Noise abatement will be carried forward if there is a 60% majority of viewpoints received in support of the barrier. If a property has multiple dwelling units, the owner(s) of the multi-unit dwelling will provide input for the property as a whole, not for each individual dwelling unit. A second outreach attempt will be made if the response rate is less than 40% of all possible respondents.

6.5.2 Cost Effectiveness

The noise abatement measure cost is no more than \$45,220¹ per benefited receptor, based upon the design engineer's estimate. This is determined by counting all receptors (including owner-occupied, rental units, mobile homes, and businesses) benefited by the noise abatement measure in any subdivision and/or given development and dividing that number into the total cost of the noise abatement measure. A benefited receptor is defined as the recipient of an abatement measure that receives a noise reduction at or above the minimum threshold of 5 dBA. Each unit in a multi-family building will be counted as a separate receptor. Cost per benefited receptor must be reanalyzed at a regular interval, not to exceed 5 years.

When the design engineer determines abatement measure cost, the estimate will include all items necessary for the construction of the noise abatement measure. Examples of cost items that should be included are traffic control (related to the noise barrier), drainage modification, foundations, retaining walls and right-of-way. Include a cost item only if it is directly related to the construction of the noise abatement measure². If a necessary project feature such as a retaining wall is included, then that cost will not be added into the noise abatement construction cost estimate. If the project incorporates visual mitigation such as the use of a transparent barrier with surface texture, the additional cost will not be included in the abatement construction cost estimate for the purpose of determining reasonableness. Aesthetic treatments, such as artwork, re-vegetation, landscaping, and barrier treatments will not be included in the abatement measure cost estimate for the purpose of determining reasonableness.

6.5.3 Noise Reduction Design Goal

The DOT&PF noise reduction design goal is 7 dBA. At least 50 percent of the benefited receptors in the first row of structures must achieve this design goal for the noise abatement to be considered reasonable. If this design goal is not attainable, then the noise abatement cannot be carried forward. Refer to Section 9.0 for a list of additional criteria that apply only to State-funded projects.

6.5.4 Noise Abatement Recommendation Worksheet

A noise abatement recommendation worksheet (Appendix C) will be filled out for each NSA in the noise analysis. The Regional Environmental Manager (REM) will approve and sign the worksheets. If an abatement measure is determined to not be feasible, then the reasonableness analysis section of the worksheet does not need to be

¹ DOT&PFs April 2018 cost per benefited receptor was adjusted for inflation (CPI April 2023) to \$45,220 cost per benefited receptor.

² DOT&PF will need to provide proof to the FHWA Division Office that the cost of any of these are solely and directly related to the noise abatement measure.

completed. Likewise, if it is determined that the abatement measure is not reasonable, the feasibility portion of the worksheet does not have to be filled out.

DOT&PF will only implement a noise abatement measure if it has been determined to be both feasible and reasonable. The REM will recommend or not recommend that a noise abatement measure be implemented. The recommendation worksheet will be submitted to the Project Manager (PM) who will sign the recommendation worksheet. If the PM does not approve the recommendation, then the Preconstruction Engineer will resolve the dispute. The Preconstruction Engineer only needs to sign the noise abatement recommendation worksheet if alternative pavements are recommended as abatement on State-funded projects. The REM will ensure that the recommendation is included in the project's environmental document.

6.6 Third Party Funding

For Type I Federal-aid projects, third party funding cannot be used if the noise abatement would require the additional funding in order to be considered feasible and/or reasonable. Third party funding can be used to pay for additional features such as landscaping, aesthetic treatments, and functional enhancements for noise barriers that have already been determined to be feasible and reasonable.

6.7 Information Required for a NEPA Decision

It is important to maintain accurate and complete documentation of noise impact analyses and any decisions to provide noise abatement. The noise analysis reports for Type I projects are stand-alone documents. Information is taken from the noise analysis report to support the NEPA analysis and decision. The specific information required is outlined in 23 CFR 772.13.

Decisions to provide or not provide noise abatement must be well-explained and defensible. Prior to the NEPA decision, DOT&PF must identify and document:

- 1) Where noise impacts are predicted to occur;
- 2) The prospective noise abatement measures that are feasible and reasonable, and are likely to be incorporated into the project; and
- 3) Noise impact locations for which no abatement appears to be feasible and reasonable.

For noise abatement measures that have been found to be feasible and reasonable, a statement of likelihood, similar to the following, should be included in the environmental document narrative in the interest of public disclosure:

“As a result of the feasibility and reasonableness analysis conducted as a part of the environmental document, the DOT&PF proposes to incorporate the following noise abatement measures (type, locations) into the proposed project. These noise abatement recommendations are preliminary and based upon the feasibility and reasonableness analysis completed at the time the environmental document. Final recommendations for noise abatement will be based upon the feasibility and reasonable analysis conducted during the detailed design of the project. Any changes in the final abatement

recommendations will result in the reevaluation of the approved NEPA document and the solicitation of additional public comment.”

The noise analysis report should include a description of each abatement measure considered, a discussion of the anticipated costs, problems, and disadvantages associated with that abatement measure, and a discussion of the anticipated benefits. The noise analysis must be appended to the environmental document, and should be in the following general format:

Cover Page
Table of Contents
Summary
Project Background
Purpose of Analysis
Methods
 Model
 Validation Process
Description of Land Use Categories along the Corridor
Results
Identification of Noise Impacts
Noise Abatement Analysis
Abatement Recommendations
Statement of Likelihood
Construction Noise
Conclusion
Appendices
 DOT&PF NOISE POLICY
 TNM Model inputs/outputs and supporting CAD/design files

6.7.1 Post-NEPA Documentation

Noise analyses are typically conducted during the NEPA process before final design. Post-NEPA verification of the validation of the noise study report may be necessary following final design. This is typically done with a project reevaluation. Qualified personnel can determine whether project design changes warrant additional or revised Noise analysis. If project changes are minor, then it may not be necessary to modify the noise analysis. A summary statement should be included in the reevaluation documenting the validity of the noise analysis report.

If final design warrants revisions for noise abatement, this will be included in the project reevaluation. If it is determined that any noise abatement measure recommendation is no longer valid, then the affected public will be notified, and the environmental document will be reevaluated or supplemented as appropriate. If a project has been modified such that a new noise analysis is required, the most current Noise Policy and FHWA regulation must be used.

6.7.2 Inventory and Reporting of Abatement Measures

DOT&PF SEO will maintain an inventory of all constructed noise abatement measures and will on a periodic basis provide the Alaska Division of FHWA the parameters outlined in [23 CFR 772.13\(f\)](#). DOT&PF will enter the data into a spreadsheet as abatement measures are implemented.

6.8 Design-Build Projects

For design-build projects, as with any DOT&PF project, DOT&PF is ultimately responsible for the NEPA decisions and as such, noise abatement measures must be considered, developed, and constructed in accordance with the provisions of 23 CFR 772, 23 CFR 636.109, and this policy.

7.0 INFORMATION FOR LOCAL OFFICIALS

In an effort to reduce future traffic noise impacts on currently undeveloped lands and to maintain compatibility between highways and future development, DOT&PF will provide the results of Type I highway traffic noise analyses to local officials within whose jurisdiction the highway project is located ([23 CFR 772.17](#)). With regard to undeveloped lands that have not been permitted for development, the results will include, at a minimum, the distances from the proposed edge of the traveled way to where the design year $L_{eq}(h)$ of 60 and 64 dBA are predicted to occur.

Typically, the design engineering manager or Environmental Impact Analyst provides the project final traffic noise technical report to local officials. Local officials may include local planning, zoning and/or building permit offices as well as Metropolitan Planning Organizations or transportation planning regions. Transmittal is made after the environmental decision document is signed. The report might be the final NEPA traffic noise technical report or a report that was done during final design. If subsequent modeling results in changes to the contour information after original transmittal, the later traffic noise technical report will also be sent to the local official with an updated email or cover letter explaining the update.

8.0 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

Construction of a highway project may cause localized, short-duration noise impacts. Construction noise can adversely affect people living in the area. Analysis and mitigation of construction noise impacts will be addressed when noise and vibration issues arise during project development or if complaints are received by the public. See [2006 FHWA Construction Noise Handbook \(FHWA-HEP-06-015\)](#) for more information.

For all Type I Federal and State Projects, it is DOT&PF policy to:

- (a) Identify land uses or activities that may be affected by noise from construction of the project. The identification is to be performed during the project development studies.

- (b) Determine the measures that are needed in the plans and specifications to minimize or eliminate adverse construction noise impacts to the community. This determination shall include a weighing of the benefits achieved and the overall adverse social, economic, and environmental effects and costs of the abatement measures.
- (c) Incorporate the needed abatement measures in the plans and specifications.

The REM, Environmental Impact Analyst and design engineering manager will coordinate to incorporate appropriate mitigation measures for construction noise as determined appropriate by DOT&PF. These may be incorporated into the plans and specifications and may include measures such as requirements for staging areas, time periods where no noise generating activities can occur, and public outreach requirements.

In the event that construction noise complaints occur during the course of construction activities, measures will be taken by the Construction Project Engineer, in consultation with the REM, to resolve the problem to the extent practical. Measures might include locating stationary construction equipment as far from nearby noise sensitive receivers as possible, shutting off idling equipment, rescheduling construction operations to avoid periods of noise annoyance, notifying nearby residents whenever extremely noisy operations will be occurring, and installing permanent or portable acoustic abatement measures around stationary construction noise sources.

In some cases, there are no alternatives to conducting construction activities during the night, on weekends, or on holidays. When deemed necessary, DOT&PF will make every effort to notify the public prior to conducting these activities. Public involvement in these cases should occur during design and throughout the construction duration. In some communities, local ordinances may restrict noise generating activities. DOT&PF and its contractor(s) will comply with local noise ordinances and acquire any necessary noise permits for construction activities prior to their initiation.

While construction noise modeling is not regularly done for Type I noise studies, the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM) may be used to predict noise levels from various types of equipment and construction activities. In some cases (e.g., pile driving near residences), construction noise modeling may be warranted for Type III projects as well.

9.0 STATE-FUNDED PROJECTS

In general, the same methods are followed in the identification of noise impacts for Type I State-funded projects as for Type I Federal-aid projects. Results of noise analyses will be documented in the State Project Environmental Checklist. If noise abatement is determined to be feasible and reasonable, then the REM will make a recommendation to the Preconstruction Engineer. The Preconstruction Engineer will decide whether the recommended abatement measure will be constructed. Abatement will be provided only if it meets the feasibility and reasonableness criteria of this policy and the

Preconstruction Engineer determines that the state funded appropriation can accommodate the expenditure.

In addition to the reasonableness factors outlined for Federal-aid projects in Section 6.5 above, the following optional reasonableness factors may be used to increase the cost allowed on State-funded projects:

- 1) Date of development.
- 2) Length of time receivers have been exposed to highway traffic noise impacts.
- 3) Exposure to higher absolute traffic noise levels.
- 4) Changes between existing and future build conditions.
- 5) Percentage of mixed zone development.
- 6) Use of noise compatible planning concepts by the local government.

No single optional reasonableness factor shall be used to determine that a noise abatement measure is unreasonable.

In addition to the criteria outlined for Federal-aid projects in Section 6.5.3 above, the following noise reduction design goal criteria apply only to State-funded projects:

- 1) Development vs. Highway Timing. At least 50 percent of impacted receptors in the development (subdivision, apartment complex, etc.) were built before initial construction of the highway. The date of development is an important part of the determination of reasonableness. More consideration is given to developments that were built before the highway was built.
- 2) Development Existence. At least 50 percent of impacted receptors in the development have existed for at least 10 years. More consideration is given to residents who have experienced traffic noise impacts for long periods of time.
- 3) Absolute Predicted Build Noise Level. The predicted future build noise levels are at least 66 dBA. More consideration should be given to areas with higher absolute traffic noise levels. Absolute noise levels typically found along highways, 60-75 dBA, are deemed undesirable and cause complaints from adjacent residents. In general, the higher the absolute noise, the more complaints.
- 4) Relative Predicted Build Noise Level. The predicted future build noise levels are at least 10 dBA greater than the existing noise levels. More consideration is given to areas with larger increases over existing noise levels. This gives greater consideration to projects for highways on new location and major reconstruction than it does to projects of smaller magnitude. For most people, a 3 dBA increase is barely perceptible, a 5 dBA increase is readily perceptible, and a 10 dBA increase doubles the perceived loudness of the noise.
- 5) Build vs. No-Build Noise Levels. The future build noise levels are at least 5 dBA greater than the future no-build noise levels. More consideration should be given to areas where larger changes in traffic noise levels are expected to occur if the project is constructed than if it is not.
- 6) Land use. Land use is not changing rapidly and there are local ordinances or zoning in place to control the new development of noise sensitive land uses adjacent to transportation corridors.

DOT&PF may consider using alternative pavements to reduce traffic noise on State-funded projects. However, the decision to provide such a measure will be made by the Preconstruction Engineer.

10.0 UPDATES TO POLICY

This policy is effective upon signature and replaces the Alaska DOT&PF November 2018 Noise Policy. Changes to the policy will be made as needed, or every 5 years at a minimum, per FHWA recommendation.



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Federal Highway
Administration**

Alaska Division

08/02/2023

P. O. Box 21648
Juneau, AK 99802-1648
(907) 586-7418
(907) 586-7420
www.fhwa.dot.gov/akdiv

In Reply Refer To:
HDA - AK

Lauren Little, P.E.
DES Interim Chief Engineer
Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities
2301 Peger Rd
Fairbanks, AK 99709

Dear Ms. Little:

We have received the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (DOT&PF) request for approval of the updated Noise Policy. After review of the policy, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) approves its use for Federal-aid funded projects.

If you need additional assistance, please contact Melissa Parker at (907) 579-7430 or melissa.parker@dot.gov.

Sincerely,

**GERALD L
VARNEY**

Digitally signed by
GERALD L VARNEY
Date: 2023.08.02
13:49:24 -08'00'

Gerald Varney (on behalf of Sandra A. Garcia – Aline)
Deputy Division Administrator

Attachment: (1) FHWA Noise Policy Memo 7-29-2023

cc: Katherine Keith, Deputy Commissioner, DOT&PF
Julie Jenkins, Financial Manager/Team Lead, FHWA
Emily Haynes, Engineering & Operations Team Lead, FHWA
Melissa Parker, Environment Program Manager, FHWA

REFERENCES

- FHWA Construction Noise Handbook (FHWA-HEP-06-015, 2006):
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/
- FHWA Highway Noise Barrier Design Handbook (2000):
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/noise_barriers/design_construction/design/
- FHWA Noise Measurement Handbook (FHWA-HEP-18-065, 2018):
<https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/measurement/fhwahep18065.pdf>
- FHWA Noise Measurement Field Guide-Final Report (FHWA-HEP-18-066, 2018):
<https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/measurement/fhwahep18066.pdf>
- FHWA Highway Traffic Noise: Analysis and Abatement Guidance (FHWA-HEP-10-025, 2011):
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/regulations_and_guidance/analysis_and_abatement_guidance/revguidance.pdf
- FHWA Noise Model Website:
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/traffic_noise_model/
- USDOT/FDOT A Method to Determine Reasonableness and Feasibility of Noise Abatement at Special Use Locations (2009):
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/noise_barriers/abatement/reasonableness_2009/

APPENDIX A - FHWA 23 CFR 772

Code of Federal Regulations

Current as of October 12, 2018

Title 23 → Chapter I → Subchapter H → Part 772

PART 772—PROCEDURES FOR ABATEMENT OF HIGHWAY TRAFFIC NOISE AND CONSTRUCTION NOISE

Contents

§772.1 Purpose.

§772.3 Noise standards.

§772.5 Definitions.

§772.7 Applicability.

§772.9 Traffic noise prediction.

§772.11 Analysis of traffic noise impacts.

§772.13 Analysis of noise abatement.

§772.15 Federal participation.

§772.17 Information for local officials.

§772.19 Construction noise.

Table 1 to Part 772—Noise Abatement Criteria

AUTHORITY: 23 U.S.C. 109(h) and (i); 42 U.S.C. 4331, 4332; sec. 339(b), Pub. L. 104-59, 109 Stat. 568, 605; 49 CFR 1.48(b).

SOURCE: 75 FR 39834, July 13, 2010, unless otherwise noted.

§772.1 Purpose.

To provide procedures for noise studies and noise abatement measures to help protect the public's health, welfare and livability, to supply noise abatement criteria, and to establish requirements for information to be given to local officials for use in the planning and design of highways approved pursuant to title 23 U.S.C.

§772.3 Noise standards.

The highway traffic noise prediction requirements, noise analyses, noise abatement criteria, and requirements for informing local officials in this regulation constitute the noise standards mandated by 23 U.S.C. 109(1). All highway projects which are developed in conformance with this regulation shall be deemed to be in accordance with the FHWA noise standards.

§772.5 Definitions.

Benefited receptor. The recipient of an abatement measure that receives a noise reduction at or above the minimum threshold of 5 dB(A), but not to exceed the highway agency's reasonableness design goal.

Common Noise Environment. A group of receptors within the same Activity Category in Table 1 that are exposed to similar noise sources and levels; traffic volumes, traffic mix, and speed; and topographic features. Generally, common noise environments occur between two secondary noise sources, such as interchanges, intersections, cross-roads.

Date of public knowledge. The date of approval of the Categorical Exclusion (CE), the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), or the Record of Decision (ROD), as defined in 23 CFR part 771.

Design year. The future year used to estimate the probable traffic volume for which a highway is designed.

Existing noise levels. The worst noise hour resulting from the combination of natural and mechanical sources and human activity usually present in a particular area.

Feasibility. The combination of acoustical and engineering factors considered in the evaluation of a noise abatement measure.

Impacted Receptor. The recipient that has a traffic noise impact.

L10. The sound level that is exceeded 10 percent of the time (the 90th percentile) for the period under consideration, with L10(h) being the hourly value of L10.

Leq. The equivalent steady-state sound level which in a stated period of time contains the same acoustic energy as the time-varying sound level during the same time period, with Leq(h) being the hourly value of Leq.

Multifamily dwelling. A residential structure containing more than one residence. Each residence in a multifamily dwelling shall be counted as one receptor when determining impacted and benefited receptors.

Noise barrier. A physical obstruction that is constructed between the highway noise source and the noise sensitive receptor(s) that lowers the noise level, including stand alone noise walls, noise berms (earth or other material), and combination berm/wall systems.

Noise reduction design goal. The optimum desired dB(A) noise reduction determined from calculating the difference between future build noise levels with abatement, to future build noise levels without abatement. The noise reduction design goal shall be at least 7 dB(A), but not more than 10 dB(A).

Permitted. A definite commitment to develop land with an approved specific design of land use activities as evidenced by the issuance of a building permit.

Property owner. An individual or group of individuals that holds a title, deed, or other legal documentation of ownership of a property or a residence.

Reasonableness. The combination of social, economic, and environmental factors considered in the evaluation of a noise abatement measure.

Receptor. A discrete or representative location of a noise sensitive area(s), for any of the land uses listed in Table 1.

Residence. A dwelling unit. Either a single family residence or each dwelling unit in a multifamily dwelling.

Statement of likelihood. A statement provided in the environmental clearance document based on the feasibility and reasonableness analysis completed at the time the environmental document is being approved.

Substantial construction. The granting of a building permit, prior to right-of-way acquisition or construction approval for the highway.

Substantial noise increase. One of two types of highway traffic noise impacts. For a Type I project, an increase in noise levels of 5 to 15 dB(A) in the design year over the existing noise level.

Traffic noise impacts. Design year build condition noise levels that approach or exceed the NAC listed in Table 1 for the future build condition; or design year build condition noise levels that create a substantial noise increase over existing noise levels.

Type I project. (1) The construction of a highway on new location; or,

(2) The physical alteration of an existing highway where there is either:

(i) Substantial Horizontal Alteration. A project that halves the distance between the traffic noise source and the closest receptor between the existing condition to the future build condition; or,

- (ii) Substantial Vertical Alteration. A project that removes shielding therefore exposing the line-of-sight between the receptor and the traffic noise source. This is done by either altering the vertical alignment of the highway or by altering the topography between the highway traffic noise source and the receptor; or,
- (3) The addition of a through-traffic lane(s). This includes the addition of a through-traffic lane that functions as a HOV lane, High-Occupancy Toll (HOT) lane, bus lane, or truck climbing lane; or,
- (4) The addition of an auxiliary lane, except for when the auxiliary lane is a turn lane; or,
- (5) The addition or relocation of interchange lanes or ramps added to a quadrant to complete an existing partial interchange; or,
- (6) Restriping existing pavement for the purpose of adding a through-traffic lane or an auxiliary lane; or,
- (7) The addition of a new or substantial alteration of a weigh station, rest stop, ride-share lot or toll plaza.
- (8) If a project is determined to be a Type I project under this definition then the entire project area as defined in the environmental document is a Type I project.

Type II project. A Federal or Federal-aid highway project for noise abatement on an existing highway. For a Type II project to be eligible for Federal-aid funding, the highway agency must develop and implement a Type II program in accordance with section 772.7(e).

Type III project. A Federal or Federal-aid highway project that does not meet the classifications of a Type I or Type II project. Type III projects do not require a noise analysis.

§772.7 Applicability.

- (a) This regulation applies to all Federal or Federal-aid Highway Projects authorized under title 23, United States Code. Therefore, this regulation applies to any highway project or multimodal project that:
 - (1) Requires FHWA approval regardless of funding sources, or
 - (2) Is funded with Federal-aid highway funds.
- (b) In order to obtain FHWA approval, the highway agency shall develop noise policies in conformance with this regulation and shall apply these policies uniformly and consistently statewide.
- (c) This regulation applies to all Type I projects unless the regulation specifically indicates that a section only applies to Type II or Type III projects.

(d) The development and implementation of Type II projects are not mandatory requirements of section 109(i) of title 23, United States Code.

(e) If a highway agency chooses to participate in a Type II program, the highway agency shall develop a priority system, based on a variety of factors, to rank the projects in the program. This priority system shall be submitted to and approved by FHWA before the highway agency is allowed to use Federal-aid funds for a project in the program. The highway agency shall re-analyze the priority system on a regular interval, not to exceed 5 years.

(f) For a Type III project, a highway agency is not required to complete a noise analysis or consider abatement measures.

§772.9 Traffic noise prediction.

(a) Any analysis required by this subpart must use the FHWA Traffic Noise Model (TNM), which is described in “FHWA Traffic Noise Model” Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010, including Revision No. 1, dated April 14, 2004, or any other model determined by the FHWA to be consistent with the methodology of the FHWA TNM. These publications are incorporated by reference in accordance with section 552(a) of title 5, U.S.C. and part 51 of title 1, CFR, and are on file at the National Archives and Record Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030 or go to http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html. These documents are available for copying and inspection at the Federal Highway Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, as provided in part 7 of title 49, CFR. These documents are also available on the FHWA's Traffic Noise Model Web site at the following URL: <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/index.htm>.

(b) Average pavement type shall be used in the FHWA TNM for future noise level prediction unless a highway agency substantiates the use of a different pavement type for approval by the FHWA.

(c) Noise contour lines may be used for project alternative screening or for land use planning to comply with §772.17 of this part, but shall not be used for determining highway traffic noise impacts.

(d) In predicting noise levels and assessing noise impacts, traffic characteristics that would yield the worst traffic noise impact for the design year shall be used.

§772.11 Analysis of traffic noise impacts.

(a) The highway agency shall determine and analyze expected traffic noise impacts.

(1) For projects on new alignments, determine traffic noise impacts by field measurements.

(2) For projects on existing alignments, predict existing and design year traffic noise impacts.

(b) In determining traffic noise impacts, a highway agency shall give primary consideration to exterior areas where frequent human use occurs.

(c) A traffic noise analysis shall be completed for:

(1) Each alternative under detailed study;

(2) Each Activity Category of the NAC listed in Table 1 that is present in the study area;

(i) *Activity Category A.* This activity category includes the exterior impact criteria for lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need, and where the preservation of those qualities is essential for the area to continue to serve its intended purpose. Highway agencies shall submit justifications to the FHWA on a case-by-case basis for approval of an Activity Category A designation.

(ii) *Activity Category B.* This activity category includes the exterior impact criteria for single-family and multifamily residences.

(iii) *Activity Category C.* This activity category includes the exterior impact criteria for a variety of land use facilities. Each highway agency shall adopt a standard practice for analyzing these land use facilities that is consistent and uniformly applied statewide.

(iv) *Activity Category D.* This activity category includes the interior impact criteria for certain land use facilities listed in Activity Category C that may have interior uses. A highway agency shall conduct an indoor analysis after a determination is made that exterior abatement measures will not be feasible and reasonable. An indoor analysis shall only be done after exhausting all outdoor analysis options. In situations where no exterior activities are to be affected by the traffic noise, or where the exterior activities are far from or physically shielded from the roadway in a manner that prevents an impact on exterior activities, the highway agency shall use Activity Category D as the basis of determining noise impacts. Each highway agency shall adopt a standard practice for analyzing these land use facilities that is consistent and uniformly applied statewide.

(v) *Activity Category E.* This activity category includes the exterior impact criteria for developed lands that are less sensitive to highway noise. Each highway agency shall adopt a standard practice for analyzing these land use facilities that is consistent and uniformly applied statewide.

(vi) *Activity Category F.* This activity category includes developed lands that are not sensitive to highway traffic noise. There is no impact criteria for the land use facilities in this activity category and no analysis of noise impacts is required.

(vii) *Activity Category G.* This activity includes undeveloped lands.

(A) A highway agency shall determine if undeveloped land is permitted for development. The milestone and its associated date for acknowledging when undeveloped land is considered

permitted shall be the date of issuance of a building permit by the local jurisdiction or by the appropriate governing entity.

(B) If undeveloped land is determined to be permitted, then the highway agency shall assign the land to the appropriate Activity Category and analyze it in the same manner as developed lands in that Activity Category.

(C) If undeveloped land is not permitted for development by the date of public knowledge, the highway agency shall determine noise levels in accordance with 772.17(a) and document the results in the project's environmental clearance documents and noise analysis documents. Federal participation in noise abatement measures will not be considered for lands that are not permitted by the date of public knowledge.

(d) The analysis of traffic noise impacts shall include:

(1) Identification of existing activities, developed lands, and undeveloped lands, which may be affected by noise from the highway;

(2) For projects on new or existing alignments, validate predicted noise level through comparison between measured and predicted levels;

(3) Measurement of noise levels. Use an ANSI Type I or Type II integrating sound level meter;

(4) Identification of project limits to determine all traffic noise impacts for the design year for the build alternative. For Type II projects, traffic noise impacts shall be determined from current year conditions;

(e) Highway agencies shall establish an approach level to be used when determining a traffic noise impact. The approach level shall be at least 1 dB(A) less than the Noise Abatement Criteria for Activity Categories A to E listed in Table 1 to part 772;

(f) Highway agencies shall define substantial noise increase between 5 dB(A) to 15 dB(A) over existing noise levels. The substantial noise increase criterion is independent of the absolute noise level.

(g) A highway agency proposing to use Federal-aid highway funds for a Type II project shall perform a noise analysis in accordance with §772.11 of this part in order to provide information needed to make the determination required by §772.13(a) of this part.

§772.13 Analysis of noise abatement.

(a) When traffic noise impacts are identified, noise abatement shall be considered and evaluated for feasibility and reasonableness. The highway agency shall determine and analyze alternative noise abatement measures to abate identified impacts by giving weight to the benefits and costs of abatement and the overall social, economic, and environmental effects by using feasible and reasonable noise abatement measures for decision-making.

(b) In abating traffic noise impacts, a highway agency shall give primary consideration to exterior areas where frequent human use occurs.

(c) If a noise impact is identified, a highway agency shall consider abatement measures. The abatement measures listed in §772.15(c) of this part are eligible for Federal funding.

(1) At a minimum, the highway agency shall consider noise abatement in the form of a noise barrier.

(2) If a highway agency chooses to use absorptive treatments as a functional enhancement, the highway agency shall adopt a standard practice for using absorptive treatment that is consistent and uniformly applied statewide.

(d) *Examination and evaluation of feasible and reasonable noise abatement measures for reducing the traffic noise impacts.* Each highway agency, with FHWA approval, shall develop feasibility and reasonableness factors.

(1) *Feasibility:* (i) Achievement of at least a 5 dB(A) highway traffic noise reduction at impacted receptors. The highway agency shall define, and receive FHWA approval for, the number of receptors that must achieve this reduction for the noise abatement measure to be acoustically feasible and explain the basis for this determination; and

(ii) Determination that it is possible to design and construct the noise abatement measure. Factors to consider are safety, barrier height, topography, drainage, utilities, and maintenance of the abatement measure, maintenance access to adjacent properties, and access to adjacent properties (*i.e.* arterial widening projects).

(2) *Reasonableness:*(i) *Consideration of the viewpoints of the property owners and residents of the benefited receptors.* The highway agency shall solicit the viewpoints of all of the benefited receptors and obtain enough responses to document a decision on either desiring or not desiring the noise abatement measure. The highway agency shall define, and receive FHWA approval for, the number of receptors that are needed to constitute a decision and explain the basis for this determination.

(ii) *Cost effectiveness of the highway traffic noise abatement measures.* Each highway agency shall determine, and receive FHWA approval for, the allowable cost of abatement by determining a baseline cost reasonableness value. This determination may include the actual construction cost of noise abatement, cost per square foot of abatement, the maximum square footage of abatement/benefited receptor and either the cost/benefited receptor or cost/benefited receptor/dB(A) reduction. The highway agency shall re-analyze the allowable cost for abatement on a regular interval, not to exceed 5 years. A highway agency has the option of justifying, for FHWA approval, different cost allowances for a particular geographic area(s) within the State, however, the highway agency must use the same cost reasonableness/construction cost ratio statewide.

(iii) *Noise reduction design goals for highway traffic noise abatement measures.* When noise abatement measure(s) are being considered, a highway agency shall achieve a noise reduction

design goal. The highway agency shall define, and receive FHWA approval for, the design goal of at least 7 dB(A) but not more than 10 dB(A), and shall define the number of benefited receptors that must achieve this design goal and explain the basis for this determination.

(iv) The reasonableness factors listed in §772.13(d)(5)(i), (ii) and (iii), must collectively be achieved in order for a noise abatement measure to be deemed reasonable. Failure to achieve §772.13(d)(5)(i), (ii) or (iii), will result in the noise abatement measure being deemed not reasonable.

(v) In addition to the required reasonableness factors listed in §772.13(d)(5)(i), (ii), and (iii), a highway agency has the option to also include the following reasonableness factors: Date of development, length of time receivers have been exposed to highway traffic noise impacts, exposure to higher absolute highway traffic noise levels, changes between existing and future build conditions, percentage of mixed zoning development, and use of noise compatible planning concepts by the local government. No single optional reasonableness factor can be used to determine reasonableness.

(e) Assessment of Benefited Receptors. Each highway agency shall define the threshold for the noise reduction which determines a benefited receptor as at or above the 5 dB(A), but not to exceed the highway agency's reasonableness design goal.

(f) *Abatement measure reporting*: Each highway agency shall maintain an inventory of all constructed noise abatement measures. The inventory shall include the following parameters: type of abatement; cost (overall cost, unit cost per/sq. ft.); average height; length; area; location (State, county, city, route); year of construction; average insertion loss/noise reduction as reported by the model in the noise analysis; NAC category(s) protected; material(s) used (precast concrete, berm, block, cast in place concrete, brick, metal, wood, fiberglass, combination, plastic (transparent, opaque, other); features (absorptive, reflective, surface texture); foundation (ground mounted, on structure); project type (Type I, Type II, and optional project types such as State funded, county funded, tollway/turnpike funded, other, unknown). The FHWA will collect this information, in accordance with OMB's Information Collection requirements.

(g) Before adoption of a CE, FONSI, or ROD, the highway agency shall identify:

(1) Noise abatement measures which are feasible and reasonable, and which are likely to be incorporated in the project; and

(2) Noise impacts for which no noise abatement measures are feasible and reasonable.

(3) *Documentation of highway traffic noise abatement*: The environmental document shall identify locations where noise impacts are predicted to occur, where noise abatement is feasible and reasonable, and locations with impacts that have no feasible or reasonable noise abatement alternative. For environmental clearance, this analysis shall be completed to the extent that design information on the alternative(s) under study in the environmental document is available at the time the environmental clearance document is completed. A statement of likelihood shall be included in the environmental document since feasibility and

reasonableness determinations may change due to changes in project design after approval of the environmental document. The statement of likelihood shall include the preliminary location and physical description of noise abatement measures determined feasible and reasonable in the preliminary analysis. The statement of likelihood shall also indicate that final recommendations on the construction of an abatement measure(s) is determined during the completion of the project's final design and the public involvement processes.

(h) The FHWA will not approve project plans and specifications unless feasible and reasonable noise abatement measures are incorporated into the plans and specifications to reduce the noise impact on existing activities, developed lands, or undeveloped lands for which development is permitted.

(i) For design-build projects, the preliminary technical noise study shall document all considered and proposed noise abatement measures for inclusion in the NEPA document. Final design of design-build noise abatement measures shall be based on the preliminary noise abatement design developed in the technical noise analysis. Noise abatement measures shall be considered, developed, and constructed in accordance with this standard and in conformance with the provisions of 40 CFR 1506.5(c) and 23 CFR 636.109.

(j) Third party funding is not allowed on a Federal or Federal-aid Type I or Type II project if the noise abatement measure would require the additional funding from the third party to be considered feasible and/or reasonable. Third party funding is acceptable on a Federal or Federal-aid highway Type I or Type II project to make functional enhancements, such as absorptive treatment and access doors or aesthetic enhancements, to a noise abatement measure already determined feasible and reasonable.

(k) On a Type I or Type II projects, a highway agency has the option to cost average noise abatement among benefited receptors within common noise environments if no single common noise environment exceeds two times the highway agency's cost reasonableness criteria and collectively all common noise environments being averaged do not exceed the highway agency's cost reasonableness criteria.

§772.15 Federal participation.

(a) *Type I and Type II projects.* Federal funds may be used for noise abatement measures when:

(1) Traffic noise impacts have been identified; and

(2) Abatement measures have been determined to be feasible and reasonable pursuant to §772.13(d) of this chapter.

(b) *For Type II projects.* (1) No funds made available out of the Highway Trust Fund may be used to construct Type II noise barriers, as defined by this regulation, if such noise barriers were not part of a project approved by the FHWA before the November 28, 1995.

(2) Federal funds are available for Type II noise barriers along lands that were developed or were under substantial construction before approval of the acquisition of the rights-of-ways for, or construction of, the existing highway.

(3) FHWA will not approve noise abatement measures for locations where such measures were previously determined not to be feasible and reasonable for a Type I project.

(c) *Noise abatement measures.* The following noise abatement measures may be considered for incorporation into a Type I or Type II project to reduce traffic noise impacts. The costs of such measures may be included in Federal-aid participating project costs with the Federal share being the same as that for the system on which the project is located.

(1) Construction of noise barriers, including acquisition of property rights, either within or outside the highway right-of-way. Landscaping is not a viable noise abatement measure.

(2) Traffic management measures including, but not limited to, traffic control devices and signing for prohibition of certain vehicle types, time-use restrictions for certain vehicle types, modified speed limits, and exclusive lane designations.

(3) Alteration of horizontal and vertical alignments.

(4) Acquisition of real property or interests therein (predominantly unimproved property) to serve as a buffer zone to preempt development which would be adversely impacted by traffic noise. This measure may be included in Type I projects only.

(5) Noise insulation of Activity Category D land use facilities listed in Table 1. Post-installation maintenance and operational costs for noise insulation are not eligible for Federal-aid funding.

§772.17 Information for local officials.

(a) To minimize future traffic noise impacts on currently undeveloped lands of Type I projects, a highway agency shall inform local officials within whose jurisdiction the highway project is located of:

(1) Noise compatible planning concepts;

(2) The best estimation of the future design year noise levels at various distances from the edge of the nearest travel lane of the highway improvement where the future noise levels meet the highway agency's definition of "approach" for undeveloped lands or properties within the project limits. At a minimum, identify the distance to the exterior noise abatement criteria in Table 1;

(3) Non-eligibility for Federal-aid participation for a Type II project as described in §772.15(b).

(b) If a highway agency chooses to participate in a Type II noise program or to use the date of development as one of the factors in determining the reasonableness of a Type I noise abatement measure, the highway agency shall have a statewide outreach program to inform local officials and the public of the items in §772.17(a)(1) through (3).

§772.19 Construction noise.

For all Type I and II projects, a highway agency shall:

(a) Identify land uses or activities that may be affected by noise from construction of the project. The identification is to be performed during the project development studies.

(b) Determine the measures that are needed in the plans and specifications to minimize or eliminate adverse construction noise impacts to the community. This determination shall include a weighing of the benefits achieved and the overall adverse social, economic, and environmental effects and costs of the abatement measures.

(c) Incorporate the needed abatement measures in the plans and specifications.

Table 1 to Part 772—Noise Abatement Criteria

[Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level_decibels (dB(A))¹]

Activity category	Activity Leq(h)	Criteria ² L10(h)	Evaluation location	Activity description
A	57	60	Exterior	Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.
B ³	67	70	Exterior	Residential.
C ³	67	70	Exterior	Active sport areas, amphitheaters, auditoriums, campgrounds, cemeteries, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, parks, picnic areas, places of worship, playgrounds, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, recreation areas, Section 4(f) sites, schools, television studios, trails, and trail crossings.
D	52	55	Interior	Auditoriums, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, places of worship, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, schools, and television studios.

E ³	72	75	Exterior	Hotels, motels, offices, restaurants/bars, and other developed lands, properties or activities not included in A-D or F.
F				Agriculture, airports, bus yards, emergency services, industrial, logging, maintenance facilities, manufacturing, mining, rail yards, retail facilities, shipyards, utilities (water resources, water treatment, electrical), and warehousing.
G				Undeveloped lands that are not permitted.

¹Either Leq(h) or L10(h) (but not both) may be used on a project.

²The Leq(h) and L10(h) Activity Criteria values are for impact determination only, and are not design standards for noise abatement measures.

³Includes undeveloped lands permitted for this activity category.

APPENDIX B - Land Use Activity Categories and Noise Abatement Criteria

Table 1. Land Use Activity Categories and Noise Abatement Criteria

<u>Activity Category</u>	<u>Activity Criteria¹</u> <u>L_{eq}(h), dBA</u>	<u>Evaluation Location</u>	<u>Activity Description</u>
A	57	Exterior	Lands on which serenity and quiet are of extraordinary significance and serve an important public need and where the preservation of those qualities is essential if the area is to continue to serve its intended purpose.
B ²	67	Exterior	Residential.
C ²	67	Exterior	Active sport areas, amphitheaters, auditoriums, campgrounds, cemeteries, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, parks, picnic areas, places of worship, playgrounds, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, recreation areas, Section 4(f) sites, schools, television studios, trails, and trail crossings.
D	52	Interior	Auditoriums, day care centers, hospitals, libraries, medical facilities, places of worship, public meeting rooms, public or nonprofit institutional structures, radio studios, recording studios, schools, and television studios.
E ²	72	Exterior	Hotels, motels, offices, restaurants/bars, and other developed lands, properties or activities not included in A–D or F.
F	---	---	Agriculture, airports, bus yards, emergency services, industrial, logging, maintenance facilities, manufacturing, mining, rail yards, retail facilities, shipyards, utilities (water resources, water treatment, electrical), and warehousing.
G	---	---	Undeveloped lands that are not permitted.

¹Activity Criteria values are for impact determination only, and are not design standards for noise abatement measures.

²Includes undeveloped lands permitted for this activity category.

APPENDIX C - Feasibility and Reasonableness Worksheet

Feasibility and Reasonableness Worksheet Example

HIGHWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ABATEMENT FOR PROJECT:

Receiver ID No.(s):

Location/Description:

Activity Category type:

Noise Abatement Criteria for this Activity Category (Leq) (Table 1, DOT&PF Noise Policy, Appendix B):

Existing Noise Level (Leq):

Future Build Noise Level (Leq):

Future No-Build Noise Level:

Has a noise impact been identified (If yes, continue filling out worksheet. If no, no noise abatement is required. Sign worksheet and recommend no noise abatement.):? Yes No

Highway Traffic Noise Abatement Feasibility and Reasonableness Analysis:

Feasibility

Is the proposed noise abatement measure acoustically feasible? Yes No

Is the proposed noise abatement measure engineering feasible? Yes No

Reasonableness

Is the proposed noise abatement measure considered reasonable? Yes No

Federal Mandatory Factors

1. **Cost Effectiveness.** Is the abatement measure cost effective? Yes No
2. **Views of Benefited Residents and Property Owners.** Do at least 60 percent of the impacted residents and property owners' surveyed desire noise abatement? Yes No
3. **Noise reduction design goal.** Does the noise abatement measure provide 7 dBA reduction to 50 percent or more of the benefited receptors in the first row of structures? Yes No

DOT&PF Mandatory Factors (State funded only)

1. **Development vs. Highway Timing.** Were at least 50 percent of benefited receptors in the development built before highway construction? Yes No
2. **Development Existence.** Have at least 50 percent of benefited receptors in the development existed for at least 10 years? Yes No
3. **Absolute Predicted Build Noise Level.** Are the predicted future build noise levels at least 66 dBA? Yes No
4. **Relative Predicted Build Noise Level.** Are the predicted future build noise levels at least 10 dBA greater than the existing noise levels? Yes No
5. **Build vs. No-Build Noise Levels.** Are the future build noise levels at least 5 dBA greater than the future No-Build noise levels? Yes No
6. **Land Use.** Is the land use changing rapidly and are there local ordinances or zoning in place to control the new development of noise sensitive land uses adjacent to transportation corridors? Yes No

Is Noise Abatement recommended for this impacted receptor(s)? Yes No

What type of noise abatement is recommended? (Note – The use of quiet pavements is not an approved noise abatement measure on Federal- Aid Projects. Quiet pavements can be utilized as an abatement measure on State-funded projects with the approval of the Regional Preconstruction Engineer.)

What is the basis for this recommendation?

Regional Environmental Manager

Date

DOT&PF Project Manager

Date

I have determined that the use of quiet pavement to mitigate noise impacts on a state-funded project is within the cost constraints of the legislative appropriation for the proposed project.

Preconstruction Engineer³

Date

³ The Preconstruction Engineer’s signature is only required if quiet pavements are recommended on State-funded projects. The Preconstruction Engineer must determine whether the incorporation of quiet pavements into the State-funded project is within the cost constraints of the legislative appropriation.